* ""TV

INTERVIEW OF NIKITAS. KHRUSHCHEV ON "OPEN END" BY DAVID SUSSKIND ON SUNDAY, 9 OCTOBER 1960

"Mr. Khrushchev, in Mr. Macmillan's address to the United Nations S-General Assembly, he said, among other things, quote: "The sponge of public opinion is almost saturated with the persistent flood of propaganda, it can pick up no more. Ordinary people all over the world, in their present mood, are beginning to tire of the same conventional slogans and catch words. The people of our country and the people of the world, I think, are very concerned about a host of issues, I hope tonight's questions and answers will supply them with information that they desperately seek. The first question, Mr. Khrushchev - from personal observation, and from the reports of your officials in this country, you must know that our people are dedicated to peace with honor. You must know too that ours is a representative government, elected by our people and fully responsive to them. Why then, do you continue to perpetuate the myth that the American people are peace loving and well intentioned, but that their government is imperialistic, warmongering and deceitful - the fact is, and I think you know it, that the American people, and the American government, whether Republican or Democratic, are one and the same, with no interest or desire to violate any other sovereignty

(EXECUTIVE REGISTRY FILE

- or extend its territories, or colonize any nation in any part of the world in any manner whatsoever."
- K- "The question that you have just posed can give that is the reply to the question, can give rise to certain to a certain misconception with regard to the reply, but I shall certainly do my best to answer the question without shirking it in the way that this question should be answered."
- S- "I wish I knew what you were saying!"
- K-"He will tell you. With regard to the social systems existing in our countries, with regard to the state structure and our governments, I would not like to add anything or to comment in any way with regard to your words to the effect that your government is responsible to the various institutions - state institutions existing in this country. I would merely like to say that evidentally you are not very well acquainted with our system, because the fact is that in our country all the various function. aries are elected, and all are responsible before the people, they are all directly elected, and the people who are elected into the various legislative and executive bodies in the Soviet Union are all men of labor, they are workers, peasants or intellectuals, and for that matter, I am, so to say, a living exhibit of that because I, in my youth, was a worker, my father was a worker and my grandfather was a peasant, and from my - from an early age I

started to work and it was as a result of my diligence, of my desire to serve my people, that the people responded by electing me to a high - to this high office. But I would not like to enter into any argument with regard to the merits of the various systems with regard to the fact whose - whose government is more representative or more democratic, because if we were to enter into such a dispute, I would start praising my state and my government and my constitution, you would start praising yours, and that would only lead to an aggravation and would not serve any useful--" "With apologies to Mr. Khrushchev, he has not answered my question, I am not comparing our governments, I asked him why, he, throughout the world, continues to draw a real cleavage between our people and our government, saying that our people are peace-loving, but our government is warmongering - our government is exactly coincident with the temper of our people, they are one and the same, they are both peace-loving so long as peace be accompanied by honor."

S-

K- "You were just a little bit in too much of a hurry, because I only - I had only just replied to the first part of that question you posed me, and after the translation of that first part is finished, I will go on to reply to the second part. I will certainly do so. The last part of that - the answer to the first question was you - you may please live under the system that you perfer and let us live under the system that we prefer and go on living under that system. You are a young man and evidently in too much

of a hurry, you are trying to overtake me by taking advantage of your young age, but I - despite my age, I will certainly try to compete with you and not to let you - and to keep abreast with you. Wait for my replies - I - I didn't reply yet to your question.'

- S- "You mean that wasn't a preface?"
- K- "I haven't yet replied to the second part of your question. You are trying to involve me in a discussion regarding your government. I do not think that I should enter into any such dispute, because it is your government, after all, and it is for the it is for your people to judge that of that government. If I were to try to do so, that would that could be construed as an attempt to interfere in the internal affairs of the American people and I would not like to be misunderstood on that point. But now I would like to give a reply."

"Now let him reply - we will drink some borzhomi _ mineral water] in the meantime."

"We say that the government of the Soviet Union, which I head in my capacity of Chairman of the Council of Ministers, is the most peace-loving government in the world. If you say, and I believe you if you say so, that the people of the United States are peace-loving people, and that the government of these United States is likewise a peace-loving government, then I would just like to raise one question. Recall that last year I was in this country as the

guest of your government. I - it gave me pleasure to accept the invitation to come here, and I came with good intentions to establish personal contacts with the President - or rather to renew these contacts because we had met before, and I came bearing the best wishes of our people and our government, and I wanted to establish good personal relations with the President. And we had - we did indeed have some very good talks and I recall that we sat and talked and had some - and had coffee, and during that conversation, and I certainly like those words very much, he said - he called - he turned to me and - with the words, 'my friend' in English, and he said, 'Learn those words, Mr. Khrushchev, they mean' - and he had them translated for me, and I said, 'very good, then allow me also to call you "moi drug" which is - which is "my friend" in Russian, and everything went very well indeed. I was seen off with honors, and when I came back I reported to my people that very good relations were coming into being with the United States of America, and this certainly was something that gladened - that made all our people glad because, after all. it is the dream of our people to have good relations between the Soviet Union and the United States, because after all, if such relations - peaceable and good relations exist, between the United States and the Soviet Union, this will mean that there will be no war between either our two countries or indeed throughout the world -

in the whole world. But what - but what happened later, what did your government do on the eve, virtually, of the Paris Summit Meeting - the United States government sent a U-2 plane into the Soviet Union, and then afterwards - after that it sent a second plane on May the first - it sent a second U-2 plane inside the borders of the Soviet Union. Naturally we reacted to that and said that was some - that was not conducive to good relations. Just imagine what would have been your reaction say if we had sent our plane into the United States, let us say, over New York for example. How would you - what would have been your opinion of me, a person who had been here as a guest, had eaten your bread and salt, and then had sent his plane into your country. Certainly you would have evaluated it - that as an unfriendly act. We reacted in the same way and we drew the conclusion that the United States government was not sincere. Such acts as the sending of planes into another country's territories certainly do not lead to the establishment of peace, but on the contrary they lead to the flaming of passions and to new aggravations in international relations, and such a policy can, in the long run, can ultimately lead even to the outbreak of war. So, it is - judge for yoursel; who is in favor of peace, who wants peaceful, friendly relations, and who wants to exacerbate the situation and to place the world on the brink of war? And that is what I would like to reply to

you on that question - to you and to all who are listening to us tonight. We want to - to let the public - to let the public be the judge. We are certainly nonest, and we keep our word when we give our word, we are always true to what we say, and - but you send your planes."

- "Mr. Khrushchev, the U-2 incident was part of both of our countries indulging various intelligence operations in their own security.

 The best answer to the U-2 incident is that our President has suspended all such flights. Let us not beat a dead horse tonight.

 Is it not possible that relations between our two countries could immediately improve if you, as a statesman and head of your country, would do two things. Bury the U-2 incident and stop inflaming it, and number two to submit the RB-47 incident to the international arbitration and decision requested by the United States."
- K- "Well, you see, there can, in international relations, be all sorts of aggravations, sometimes they can be very sharp indeed, but with the passage of time such relations lose their rough edges and after all, no matter how stormy is the ocean, in the final count, it always goes back to within its shores and a storm is always followed by a calm. So evidentally will happen with the U-2 incident also. But, I do think that you have an incorrect understanding on that matter and I would like to follow that up with more comments."
- S- "Is there an answer in that? Would you hold kindly that answer

until we take this station break which gives our radio and television stations around this country the opportunity to identify themselves.

We will return in one minute and ten seconds."

STATION BREAK

- K- "You got a couple of mattresses here or something?"
- S- "Sure, I will get you a mattress. So that the public will understand, because we have been on the air the last few minutes, I said that we should both practice brevity me in my questions and Premier Khrushchev in his answers. I said or will he stay the night. He asked if there could be brought several mattresses into the studio. May we have the answer to that question."
- K- "Yes, but I have already said, if you provide the mattresses, I am ready to be here all night."
- S- "All right, we will send for the mattresses. Will you please give us Mr. Khrushchev's answer? The question was, it seems like a year ago, that the U-2 incident was beating a dead horse the best answer to that is that our President and our government have suspended those flights. Could Mr. Khrushchev make not make an affirmative and definite and important move toward better relations between our two countries by first; burying the U-2 incident forever and not recitating it always and secondly; by submitting the RB-47 incident to international arbitration as requested by the American government."

"Regarding your point that the United States President had Ksuspended these flights, that is certainly a good thing, but such is not the case and I will dwell upon that a little. That policy has not been suspended. The best thing to do, however, would be - the best thing that could be done would be not to have sent any U-2 plane at all. You say that that is a dead horse, but that is not so. After all, if your planes continue to fly into our country, that - and we continue to shoot them down, and we shall do so - that can ultimately lead to the outbreak of war. If you continue to violate the sovereignty of nations, we will be compelled to undertake retaliatory measures against such countries that indulge in such actions. And after all, that certainly - that certainly augurs a military conflict. Now, with regard to the suspension. Such flights were not suspended, and that is shown by the fact that the U-2 flight was followed by the flight of the RB-47 plane. So, that means that the flights were certainly not suspended, but were repeated. Now, we also have definite information to the effect that on the eve before my departure to the - to New York to attend the session of the General Assembly, the United States Intelligence Agencies - whatever they are, had intended to send another plane into the Soviet Union - a third plane therefore. But this information reached us and I said to your Ambassador - to the

United States Ambassador in Moscow, Mr. Thompson, I said to him, 'You are now preparing to send a third plane into the Soviet Union, but we know of your plans, we know that you are going to sent the plane - this time the plane will fly at an altitude of 25,000 meters, but we are prepared, and we shall - we shall shoot. the plane down, but that certainly promises very bad results.' So, the best thing - the best - the flight did not take place. because evidentally the United States Government rescinded the order to - of that flight. But, I certainly agree with what you say on one point, that is let us not inflame passions - let us refrain from any inflammation of passions. Now, regarding your request that we agree to international arbitration with - with regard to the RB-47, we shall certainly not accept any such arbitration because how can a - a plainly domestic question be submitted to international arbitration? The best arbitration possible would be not to send any planes at all. Let us indeedlet us indeed be friends, let us live in peace, but let us not violate the sovereignty of our countries."

S- "It is not a domestic issue. You claim that your territory was violated by one of our planes, we deny such allegations. It is a matter between two sovereign countries in dispute. The United Nations is clearly a forum for arbitration of that dispute. Isn't your refusal to submit the dispute - which is not a domestic

dispute, but an international dispute, isn't that sympthomatic of your hard cold war policy?"

- K- "No, no."
- __Mr. Khrushchev answered, but Mr. Susskind made the following remark to Mr. Khrushchev's answer before it was translated into English __
- S- "Is there progress in that answer?"
- K- "Yes, all our replies contain progress."
- S- "Not tonight!"
- K- "Don't be in too much of a hurry, you don't know Russian and the question hasn't been translated yet!"
- K- "How can you say such a thing? How can that be? After all, in the U-2 incident, you also denied the fact of any violation, you also said that you did not did not ascend any plane into the Soviet Union. And it was only after we provided furnished the material evidence and indeed the pilot who was shot down over Sverdlovsk only then did you admit the fact of such a violation, and moreover, you not only admitted the fact but you said that you you said that you had the right to continue to undertake such to take such action with regard to the Soviet Union. The RB-47 was certainly shot down over Soviet territorial waters.

 Now, the best thing the best policy to follow in such in this case would be and I would like to quote from from a play by the famous Russian playright Oriboyedov and he he has a very

well known play - in which he says, 'Could you not find some - could you find - could you not find some road which would be much further away from - for your walks.' So too, I would like to say here, could you not find some route that would be further away from the Soviet Union for flights of American planes. So we indeed do not want to do anything at all to impair our relations and to - to exacerbate our relations - we only want to have relations of peace and friendship between our two countries."

- S- "Exacerbation seems to be a favorite word--"
- No response to that remark 7
- S- "I have a feeling that smile cloaks the will of implacable steel."
- K- "Logic, logic and the truth."
- S- "We have different languages."
- K- "It is the same word, logic is the same word I would use too."
- S- "How did you know about the third flight that he mentioned unless a certain amount of spying has been going around?"
- K- "With regard to what you say about the United Nations serving as an arbitratory as a forum for arbitration. We have certainly seen a very good example of United States arbitration in the Congo, but we are not the Congo, we are the Soviet Union, and we ask that our sovereignty be respected. If it is not respected, then we will certainly defend uphold our sovereignty."
- S- "I think we have seen an excellent illustration of the United Nations in action in the Congo."

- K- "I would not like to return to the discussion of that question, because on the question was fully treated in my statement before the United Nations General Assembly. I, therefore, would not like to return to the matter, and take up the time of our esteemed listeners and viewers. Whoever wants to know my point of view on that question can take can read my speech which was published in one American newspaper."
- S- "May I ask you and a question to which you can answer yes or no. Will you, in the interest of immediate improvement of American and Soviet relations, submit the RB-47 issue to arbitration?"
- K- "No."
- S- "I taught you 'yes' earlier."
- K- "Now I will give that reply I will gladly repeat that word when you ask me the the appropriate question, but may I say, will you stop flying into the Soviet Union and provoking conflicts. If that question were to be asked, I would say very good, and you would, I trust, I say, yes."
- S- "We have stopped. But, we can't agree on it tonight, I will bet."
- K- "Let me shake your hand if you really have stopped such flights, but let me also hope that the President agrees with you on that matter."

_Mr. Khrushchev laughs_7

- K- "Yes, yes, yes."
- S- "Yes, all right. In his speech before the United Nations Assembly, President Eisenhower said that he would like to see a universal plebiscite in which every individual in the world would be given the epportunity freely and secretly to answer this question: 'Do you want the right of self government?' In his speech before the Assembly, your colleague Mr. Gromyko of Poland approved of this proposal saying he favored seeking the opinions of all peoples on problems closely linked with their right to govern their own country. Will you agree to a world-wide plebiscite to be conducted as suggested by President Eisenhower, under United Nations auspices, in which all peoples would be polled on their views on self government. Could you translate as Mr. Khrushchev goes along, would that be in order?"
- K- "I don't know how that can be done, it seems that American technology is not up to that yet. You come along to us, we will do it immediately. We will give you an immediate translation."

_In reference to the question_7

- K- "A very good question."
- S- "Do we have a good answer?"
- K- "A very exact answer. How old are you?"
- S- "Thirty-nine."
- K- "You are a young man a young man."

- S- "I am aging."
- K- "I have got sons that are older. And therefore I say that you evidentally are you do not have a very good knowledge of history. When the great October revolution took place, then a military landing party was sent into our country by the United States, Britain, France, Germany and Japan, and they imposed the civil war upon us. And that was a nationwide plebiscite. And we conducted that plebiscite we asked the people for their opinion throughout four years. The White Guard, the Czarist Generals, were advancing on Tula and Moscow--"
- S- "You know that gesture you have?"
- K- "When that is required we will come to that, but it is not required now."
- K- "They occupied Siberia they seized the Ukraine, they seized

 Byelorussia they were within 150 kilometers of Moscow, but the

 Russian people the Ukrainean people, the Byelorussian people

 and all the people of the Soviet ..., took a broom and swept them

 all into the ocean. The Americans, the British, the Japanese,

 the Germans, all of them the whole lot of them and they said,

 'It is socialism will be in our land.' And socialism is now

 developing very well indeed. So, your President is too late in

 raising such a question, the question was raised as far back as

 in the time of President Wilson, by that President, and we voted

- and gave our reply. What else do you want now?"
- S- "Mr. Khrushchev--"
- K- "You should understand it directly. Then in 1941 the Germans and the Italians decided to verify that voting that plebiscite."
- S- "What year was that?"

INTERPRETER: "In 1941."

- K- "But they were routed, they were thrown out of the country, the country was purified of them, and socialism continued to exist and socialism is today successfully developing. If you really if you were to gain a true understanding of what socialism and Communism means, why nobody could drag you away by the ears from socialism and Communism--"
- S- "Oh yes they could!"
- K- "--as if it were a favorite dish of yours."
- S- "Listen--"
- K- "And you then understand that is that socialism and Communism is the most noble is the most noble teaching about in the world."
- S- "Is it my turn?"
- K- "And if anybody tries to impose upon us another such referendum, we will not evade it, we will not dodge such an attempt, we will sweep it all away."
- S- "We are not--"

- K- "Our land is sacred and sovereign and it is only the peoples of the Soviet Union themselves that have the right to govern their land and administer their affairs. Now, we recognize the same right we recognize that you certainly are entitled to that same right and do not interfere in our affairs live in any way you like and give us that right also, why should you try to poke your nose into our government, so to say. Have you not enough things to do in your own country?"
- S- "You are baying at the moon. We know the history of the Russian Revolution we do not want a plebiscite in the Soviet Union, we believe with all our might that there are many subjugated peoples of Eastern Europe we ask that a plebiscite be held, not in your home country, not in the Soviet Union, but in many of the countries of Eastern Europe who are now within the Soviet orbit, to let those people announce freely and openly their preference for self government. It is not necessary to cite the October 1917 Revolution of the Communist Party in Russia. We are talking about 1960 in a free plebiscite without troops of any country in the nations of Eastern Europe and Africa and Asia."
- K- "Do you think it is polite and in order to use such an expression in a conversation as to bay at the moon? I am after all, I am old enough to be your father we don't think that is too polite

to use such expressions in conversation"

"I did not come here to bay at the moon, I am the Chairman of the Council of Ministers of the greatest state on earth, and if you have invited me, then you will please respect me. If you don't respect me. don't invite me. Politeness--"

- S- "I am sorry--"
- K- "You are you are perhaps in the habit of using that sort of language but we are not and we will not allow anybody to do so."
 "Let the translation come on now."
 "So you don't mean that a plebiscite should be held in the Soviet
 Union."
- S- "No, no, no, not in the Soviet Union. Oh no, throughout the world."
- K- "You mean the European Socialist countries."
- S- "The European countries Asia, Africa, Latin America. Can you translate. Throughout the world except in our separate--"
- K- "So I can reply to that that all these countries all these countries have their own sovereign governments, and it is up to the peoples of those countries, and it is up to their governments to decide on that question. I this is this has nothing to do with me whatsoever, so you evidentally wrongly addressed that question.

 Regarding the fact your statement the fact that these nations of Eastern Europe are captive well, that I would not even wish to reply to, because that truly such an opinion is merely garbage

- which should be thrown out of your head, and you should under you should understand history in the way that it is being
 written today by the nations, otherwise you will have a very
 backward view on present day issues on the issues of today."
- S- "Does the phrase 'garbage thrown out of your head' is that is that good form?"
- K- "Well, there are there is a correct understand there can be a correct understanding and a wrong understanding and such as the such is the fact and I don't think there is anything offensive in that, but if you think that there is something offensive and you want to take me up on that, well I can certainly well, I can in that case though I don't think there is anything offensive in it, I can sort of well, take back that garbage if it is there."
- S- "Oh, I think it would be charming."

Pause for station identification 7

S- "Mr. Khrushchev, at the United Nations Correspondent Lunch of October 7th, a few days ago, you said, 'We shall uphold our interests outside this international body - outside the United Nations, by relying on our strength, and this could lead to nothing else than a new exacerbation of the situation.' My question is, your proposal to act outside the UN, and your intention to force a solution of the West Berlin issue could involve the great danger, the horrible danger of the nuclear war. Do you truly

believe that the issues of West Berlin, the German Peace Treaty, and your proposal to reorganize the United Nations, are worth the potential of a nuclear war in which both sides face extermination?" "That is too involved. That is even frightening to face that Kquestion at night. But I will do my best to reply. Firstly, I certainly remember what I said at that press conference, and what I said was that if the United Nations is constituted in the same one-sided way as it is now constituted, and if it continues to trail in the wake of the United States, then the United Nations will lose - will lose the respect and confidence of all countries and the nations then, seeking solutions to outstanding issues, will not turn to the assistance of the United Nations, but will rely on themselves to find solutions for these questions. We, the Soviet Union, are of the opinion that if attempts are made to humiliate us and to subjugate us in the United Nations, we will we can certainly do without the United Nations, as we have done for many ages in the past, and that means that if - and I stress if - any state encroaches upon our sovereignty, we will uphold that sovereignty by our - with our - with all the strength we have at our disposal. And if pursuing those attempts, any state threatens menaces us with a war, we will not be afraid and we will still uphold our interests."

"Do you remember the basis of our criticism of the United Nations

structure, after all, we were not seeking any privileges for ourselves, we were merely seeking - we are merely seeking equal conditions for the Socialist Countries - the countries tied up in United States lead military blocs, and neutralist countries. Is there anything unjust in this? No, it is a perfectly just proposal, and if it is - if this is not done, it is not only we, but the other countries too, that will stop respecting the United Nations and after that the outcome will be that the United Nations will simply die, they will cease to exist."

"I have not yet replied to a point - the point about Berlin. You did ask that."

"I want to reply to that - I don't want to - I am an honest partner.

I will reply to everything, but have patience."

"Then, with regard to what you said, and that is that we want to resolve the issue of Berlin outside the United Nations, but you see the German question as such is outside the framework of the United Nations. This question is a left over of the - of World War II, and the question concerns the former competent countries, and that is recognized - this fact is recognized by the United States, by France, Britain and the Soviet Union - there are no differences between us in this - on this point."

"With regard to Berlin, what is it exactly - and the German problem - what is it that we want. We want to have a peace treaty signed

between the - with the two existing German states. Fifteen years, or soon it will be sixteen years have passed since the end of World War II and there is still no peace treaty. Is that normal? It is - certainly it is not, and every man who wants to see a normalization of the European situation, will agree that a peace treaty is necessary, and that is what we desire."

- S- "The question--"
- K-"We think that a peace treaty should be drawn up between the two German states, and there is, after all, no other way out because there are two German states in existence today and that will mean that the question of the occupation regime in West Berlin will also be resolved. We understand that West Berlin has its own system of government, and this is the capitalist system. We have no desire to interfere in the life of the population of West Berlin, and let them live - let them live under capitalism, let them have their own self-government, and let them maintain contacts with all countries that they desire to have contacts with, but the occupation regime should - must certainly be eliminated. What is it in effect that we are proposing? We are proposing to take steps to do away with the remnants - with the vestiges of World War II which threaten the - which - which contained a threat of the outbreak of the third - a third world war. When you say that this might - that this could involve - this could involve the

great danger of a nuclear war, can you be - can you mean that you would be willing to - to start such a war? I certainly believe that the American - the people of the United States are wiser than to do that, but even if a threat of war against us is advanced, we will still go ahead and negotiate and sign a peace treaty. I simply cannot believe that the people of the United States would be willing to start a war because of the signing of such a peace treaty, that is certainly a wild - an absolutely wild idea. We we want to - to clear the way - we want to - to cast out the glowing embers that might burst - that might burst into flames again. We want to do so in order to insure peaceful and friendly relations with the German people, with the American people, the British people and the French people, with all the peoples of the world. If you want - if you want to live under capitalism, that is certainly your own business and you are perfectly free to do so, but if we want to live under Communism that also is our business, and no one should interfere in our desires. I really think that you have an incorrect understanding of our position on that issue. When you come to a correct understanding, I am sure that you will welcome our position because you will understand - you will then realize that this is the only - this is the sole correct and reasonable position to take."

S- "America and her Western Allies will never start a war, I am

- positive. My question related to whether the issue of West Berlin and German peace treaty has got to be settled by negotiation and not by threat or the use of force, or anything that would blow both parts of the world up."
- K- "Now, certainly those are words which I like very much and I think that that is very reasonable. We are we do not intend to advance any threats of any kind, we are trying to prove and our only desire is that the United States, Britain and France should understand and other nations, should understand the necessity of a peace treaty, and that all these countries should get together to sign a peace treaty, and thereby to do away with the vestiges of the Second World War. We are not we do not want to start a war, we want to establish good friendly relations between all countries, we want to establish friendly relations with the American people and we want to establish friendly relations with the American government, too."
- "May I ask you this? Is not your charge, Mr. Khrushchev, that the United States controls a majority in the United Nations, really an insult to the free and independent nations that compose its membership. As a matter of record, most of the free nations have voted differently from one another and the United States on many occasions, but on the other hand, there is no recorded moment when the Soviet Bloc of eight nations have ever voted differently from the Soviet Union."

- K- "Evidentally you do not you are not well enough informed, but I would like to assure you that many representatives of many countries that vote for the proposals of the United States, later come to as and clarify their position, and they say that with we are whole-heartedly with you, but due to our position we cannot vote contrary to the United States. And that is a fact."
- S- "But they are free and independent nations who constitute the membership of the United Nations. They are not under some magic or fear
 influence of the United States. They are voting their separate
 consciences, are they not?"
- K- "Truly those words of yours are words worthy of respect, but when you learn of the true state of affairs, when you gain a deeper incite into the machinery of the United Nations, I am sure you will change your opinion. There is a tremendous pressure being exerted both politically, economically and otherwise of but soon an end will come to all this, and all the nations will rid themselves of this sort of pressure on the part of the United States, and the United there will come a time when the United States will be in a minority in the United Nations."

 \int Pause for station identification \int

S- "Mr. Khrushchev, you said in reply to another question of the United

Nations Correspondents - the question was, 'What do you feel you

have accomplished here, inside and outside the United Nations, since

you have been here?' You said, 'We have sewn good seeds of peace and friendship. We have exposed lies and sewn the seeds of truth, and no amount of effort will succeed in killing this truth.' Isr't it really a fact that the net of what you have done, since you have been here, is that you have attempted to cripple and impede the United Nations by urging the removal of Mr. Hammarskjold and the substitution of a three man secretariat, which would hemstring the United Nations; you have attempted to move its headquarters out of New York; you have attempted to capture an important bloc of neutral votes and isolate the United States and her allies.

You have labeled the Western powers as colonialists and imperialists in an impassioned speech. You have also attempted to resell your total disarmament plan without any concern for our very deep and legitimate fear about inspections and controls. Does this add up--"

- K- "You yourself said we should be brief, but you have outlined a whole program there what am I to do, reply until six o'clock in the morning?"
- S- "No. All I ask is that these activities--"
- K- "I see that you are trying to follow the procedure that was adopted with regard to Mikoyan that is to is to outline all your views without giving me an opportunity to reply. And you want to I

Mr. Khrushchev interrputed Mr. Susskind (speaking in English) at thus
point. Mr. Susskind's question had not been translated to Mr. Khrushchev
in Russian at this time

- want to hear the question, but you want to outline the whole program."
- S- "Have your activities at the United Nations, since you arrived, do they add up to sewing the seeds of peace and friendship and the seeds of truth?"
- K-"That is the only reason why I came. There - I have no other aim in life as to - other than to serve my people, to serve the truth, to serve the interests of the working people - the workers, the peasants and the intellectuals of my country. I would like to express my appreciation for the - for this opportunity accorded to me to meet with the population of New York, with the population of the United States. You have put questions to me and I have replied to them and I have endeavored to bring about a correct understanding of our policy and of our desires. Our country our people and our Soviet government want only peace and friendship with all other nations. We want to - we want to have this the same attitude - to see the same attitude on the part of America and the American government, and I trust that we will bring about such a situation when friendship will come into being between our two countries, because friendship certainly leads to friendship is certainly conducive to the development of economy and culture - and culture whereas war can only lead to disaster. we are in favor of peaceful and friendly relations with all nations. I would like to thank you all, ladies and gentlemen,

it is, the hour is getting late and there is work to be done tomorrow, I would like once again to thank you all and to ask to urge all of you to do your utmost to bring about an improvement in relations between our countries. For our part, I would like to say that the Soviet Union is an exceedingly rich country, we have everything that we need to develop our economy to insure prosperity for our country. And we are doing our best to raise the living standards of our population. We have - we have no there is nothing that we desire to seize in any other countries, because we ourselves are provided with everything that we need. We would like to extend a hand offriendship - a hand of peace to the American people, and we wish that the American people would reciprocate that desire on our part. I would like once again to say thank you - dear ladies - thank you ladies and gentlemen, to wish you goodnight and to wish that there should never be any wars on our planet. Long live friendship between the peoples of the Soviet Union and of the United States of America."

- S- "Would you ask Mr. Khrushchev when he returns to the Soviet Union?"
- K- "I am returning to the Soviet Union on Thursday late Thursday evening. And I would like to ask all those that would like to see, with their own eyes, how we live in the Soviet Union, I would like to ask them all to come to our country we are are noted for our hospitality, and you will see this for yourselves if you

- come. And I invite you to come."
- S- "We are separated by more than language. I am convinced tonight that we words do not mean the same things in our respective languages, nor is the logic or the thinking easy to fuse. Your answers to so many of the questions, Mr. Khrushchev, I submit respectfully, did not deal specifically with the issues. I had hoped tonight that we could uncover alot more new information that would give us hope for peace tomorrow."
- K- "In my in replying, I attempted to dispell the wrong the misconceptions you have with regard to the policy of the Soviet government with regard to the policy of the Soviet Union, and I am sure that we will achieve understanding despite the difference in the language in the languages, if we agree not to interfere in the internal affairs of one another. Let each nation decide on the political and social structure to be established in the in every country, and let that be an internal matter for that country to decide. If that rule is strictly adhered to, then there will be no questions that will separate us, and prevent us from establishing friendship among our two countries, and the friendship between us between our two countries, between our two peoples."
- S- "Those are splendid words. Will you live up to them?"
- K- "We always live up to our words. And as regards questions of

- war or peace, we shall never start a war, we do not need a war."
- S- "Categorically you will never start a war."
- K- "Categorically we shall categorically we shall never start a wer, so you can sleep in peace. We want not a war but peace between is."
- S- "I feel better about that. Before you strangle yourself, we have to remove this all that would have to happen is that you would--"
- K- "Thank you. I hope you are not offended."
- S- "I wish we had had more responsive answers. Goodbye."
- K- "I did reply--"
- S- "But look, the--"
- K- "--you said, 'What do I want, peace or war?' I say peace. You say do I want enmity or friendship? I say friendship. And that is the main thing."
- S- "Will you now make deed and words square with doctrine and preachment: "
- K- "Who is it that has instilled in you such a wrong understanding of our policy?"
- S- "The facts. History, observation and very close analysis."
- K- "But that, truly if you if you hold to your words, that is truly a very that understanding is to prosper. They can only hear what you are saying. See how astute you Americans are."
- S- "No, no who could not hear you, your projection is incredible.

 I would like to ask you whether some of your procural temperament,
 the humor and the rage while here in New York is that the true

- Premier Khrushchev personality or is that something of an acting job?"
- K- "I could reply to that that we to good things we reply by good things. Even an animal if you pat it, it will understand that good attitude on your part, but if you try to drag it by the tail or something, then it too will turn on you. If you come to us with friendship, we will open up our hearts. If you send a U-2 plane, we will shoot it down."
- S- "Oh, don't bring that up again! Every time we pat you, you bite us."
- K- "It is you who are biting us! The sending of a U-2, that means biting."
- S- "We are not sending any U-2's, that is history, that is over. We seek peace and friendship with honor."
- K- "All right, let's end it, do away with it. After all, even after wars a peace is declared and we have not been fighting. We were allies in the last war, and we want to continue being friends with you. We don't ask to get anything from you, we only want friendship and peace."
- S- "We cannot fight, negotiation must be the way, however painful, however predicated."
- K- "We are in favor of that. We are in favor of negotiations, in favor of peace and friendship. Come to the Soviet Union, look at us, look at the people, look at the country, and you will see what a wonderful peace-loving people they are. Many Americans have been,

and I read their reports and their impressions, and it is only very few of them that distort the actual situation, but the majority, and I am happy to say this, try by reporting on their impressions to emenderate relations and to bring about friends between our people. What do I want with war, I have so many grandchildren - I have - I even have a great-grandchild - a great-granddaughter."

- S- "But, all of your words since you arrived at the United Nations

 General Assembly all of your statements have been of an agitating

 nature calculated to intensify the cold war, and drive a deeper

 wedge between us. I am not ... time. Don't call us colonial

 imperialistic warmongers this is not first a fact, and secondly

 not calculated to make life possible between us."
- K- "Are you against the colonial system?"
- S- "Totally, and we do not --"
- K- "Lets sign that then, we have submitted a declaration on that and to have the colonial system eliminated. Lets sigh that declaration
 and--"
- S- "Since World War II, 1945, some thirty-five nations have been freed, voluntarily by the Western powers. Seventeen nations--"
- K- "But just but there still exists colonies, don't there? There still are people languishing under colonialism, let us free them."
- S- "Would you grant immediate freedom to any colony despite its degree

- of illiteracy, poverty, technical and administrative incompetence despite its total unpreparedness for freedom and responsibility?"
- K- "Yes, we are in favor of completely complete freedom of every nation. In our constitution it is and we have fifteen union republics in the Soviet Union, and it is inscribed in the constitution of our country that any one of these republics can secede from the union if it so desires. If the Ukraine wants to secede it can do so, Byelorussia, Georgia, Armenia anyone of the republics can secede."
- S- "I want to ask you this question. When you talked to Mr. Macmillan, you insisted on the plenary special session of the United Nations to be held somewhere in Europe at which 99 nations would discuss the issue of disarmament. When a three member nation conference on nuclear suspension of testing could not agree, when a ten member nation conference could not agree on disarmament, how could a 99 nation conference accomplish anything except another rampaging forum for propaganda?"
- K- "My dear sir, even if two people come together, if they don't want to come to terms, they won't."
- S- "99 is a ..."
- K- "I can say on behalf of the Soviet Union that we are ready immediately to sign an agreement to to start total disarmament and to destroy all the weapons of war, and if we are then and if such an agree- ment is signed, we are ready to accept any conditions for inspection

and control that the Western powers may care to submit. After all, we have submitted our copy proposals for disarmament while the Western powers have not done so - and President Eisenhower has, in fact, been speaking not of disarmament, not of control over disarmament, but of control over armaments. So we are not only speaking in different languages, we are also speaking of different things."

- S- "The peoples of the world are fearful of the climate that exists throughout the world, they insist on the Western side that there be adequate inspections and control leading to disarmament, why is that totally unacceptable to you."
- K- "We are in favor of control. We are in favor of control and inspection. But what is there to control? If there is no disarmament, there cannot be any control. Do you want to control the work of our government we can do that far better ourselves."
- S- "We want to inspect each other's stockpiles of nuclear weapons, the launching sites, so that we know what exists and what will be abandoned."
- K- "We don't want to have anything left, we want to destroy everything. Why should anything be left. Everything should be destroyed so that there should be no war. And you want to just destroy something and then leave a part of it."
- S- "No, no."

- K- "Why?"
- S- "The resumption of disarmament before controls is that the absolute and trust and faith on both sides exist this is simply not true. We have lived through a terrible time of tension and conflict, we therefore must first inspect agree upon controls, and then commence disarmament."
- K- "It is difficult for us to speak perhaps because, I as being the Prime Minister being a Prime Minister and more fully conscious of the problems of disarmament and of the complexity of the problem of disarmament than you are and what we say is, let us achieve, let us bring about disarmament, let us then follow that up with control, let us not have first inspection without disarmament, our proposals on disarmament certainly lead to peace."
- S- "A final thing, when a final thing. When two philosophies are in absolute stalemate, with each other, on so many issues, there must be in on the part of both sides, the desire to negotiate and accomplish some constructive results, since both sides agree that war in unthinkable."
- K- "That is correct."
- S- "Do you agree that negotiation is the only way and that you are going to pursue that course?"
- K- "That is right, I agree. Our philosophies your capitalist philosophies and ours the Communist philosophy, is the thing

that must belong to ourselves, and we will reach no understanding on that point, and why should we. You remain with your own, we will remain with our own."

- S- "We must and will coexist in a world of peace."
- K- "That is right."
- S- "Even though colleagues of yours are advocating a more militaristic approach."
- K- "Don't try to detract me, I am an experienced man and I won't submit to that. Let me reply to your question. We are speaking of the issue of peace. You develop your own economy and take care of your state in any way you see fit on the capitalist basis. We will develop along Communist socialist lines. We will not interfere in your affairs, but don't you interfere in ours."
- S- "And the new countries? Neither of us will interfere in their affairs."
- K- "No, no one should interfere. Each country should choose the system it wants to have for itself."
- S- "Would you join with us on an arms embargo with respect to the new countries, neither of us to ship arms of any description."
- K- "We proposed that a long time ago. We proposed an agreement that no nation, that no state should sell any other country arms. You did not accept that."
- S- "But a real arms race is on now with the new countries of Africa,

- particularly, and we should -- "
- K- "Then lets sign such an agreement. Lets do that we are ready to do something we want peace and not war, and we will do everything in our power to consolidate peace."
- S- "With respect to the new countries, that would include armaments as well as military personnel and technicians and so forth?"
- K- "That would include all that, but you also would have to desist from such action."
- S- "Yes, I am--"
- K- "Britain and France none of the no state should do that. Why give them arms, it is better to give them bread, to give machines, and they don't need arms, they wouldn't even take it if nobody interfered in their internal affairs."
- S- "Are you prepared to go to a new summit with our new President?"
- K- "I am not only prepared to do so, but I believe that we will meet."
- S- "Will that summit have a preparation at a lower level which would accomplish alot of progress before the statesmen sat down?"
- K- "We are ready to undertake any reasonable action that would lead to the consolidation of peace and to the would lead to the establishment of closer, more peaceful and friendly relations.

 Elect your President, whoever you may elect, that is an internal matter for you to decide, and then let us bring about such results, such agreements that would be conducive to the establishment of

peace and friendship among all the nations."

- S- "Good night, Mr. Khrushchev."
- K- "Thank you."
- S- "Thank you very much."
- K- "You are not offended at me?"
- S- "No, I am not offended at you, mystified is the word, and--"
- K- "Well, goodbye, and thank you."